

Message

---

**From:** Hurlid, Kathy [/O=EXCHANGELABS/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=2F3B04131F1145FCB4CCF5B0A64C1AC4-KHURLD]  
**Sent:** 3/24/2017 3:06:45 PM  
**To:** Kupchan, Simma [Kupchan.Simma@epa.gov]; Speir, Jeffrey [speir.jeffrey@epa.gov]; Cherry, Andrew [Cherry.Andrew@epa.gov]; Moulta-Ali, Abu [Moulta-Ali.Abu@epa.gov]; McDavit, Michael W. [Mcdavit.Michael@epa.gov]; Strickler, Jacob [Strickler.Jacob@epa.gov]  
**Subject:** FYI - Agenda and meeting summary for AWS FACA  
**Attachments:** Assumable Waters - Jan 2017 SubCmte Meeting - Summary v2 (170323) KHcomm.docx; Agenda\_Assumable\_Waters\_April2017v2.docx

Good morning all,

Attached FYI are my general comments on the meeting summary of the January Subcommittee meeting. I feel that a number of revisions need to be made to this for ease of reading and consistency. Knowing you are all busy with other things, I'm not asking for your comments at this time, but will ask for comments on the next version.

I have attached the summary her in case you are really interested in reading it, but my intent is to have Pat rewrite this so it is clear what the charge to the drafting group is, what was agreed to, etc, It is that version that I will seek your comment on. A more concise summary of the charge to the drafting group will enable the subcommittee to use this summary as a guide as they review the final report. Otherwise I fear we will not get through the 3 hour meeting on the 17th!

- Kathy

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Hurlid, Kathy  
**Sent:** Friday, March 24, 2017 10:59 AM  
**To:** 'Pat Field' <pfield@cbuilding.org>; Strickler, Jacob <Strickler.Jacob@epa.gov>  
**Subject:** RE: Agenda and meeting summary for your comment

Good Morning Pat:

Attached are my comments on the meeting summary. I think due to Tushar's newness to the issue and swapping out for part of the time, that there are some sections that need to be revisited by you along with the raw notes. I've tried to identify those areas and suggest what I thought the point of the discussion was, or a missing piece, or .. . . Well you get the idea.

I think the report is a little hard to read as it is not clear (and I was there) what the charge to the drafting group was or what was agreed to. This needs to be made more clear so folks can look at the final report with these directions/marching orders in their minds. If this is not made clear, I fear 3 hours on the 17th will not be enough time for discussion.

Thanks to Tushar for pulling these together, it is not easy.

Thanks, Kathy

P.S. I will share my comments with others within EPA, but not seek their input until you send it to the broader subcommittee as they are all a little swamped with the drafting of a new rule. ;-)

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Pat Field [mailto:pfield@cbuilding.org]  
**Sent:** Thursday, March 23, 2017 9:08 AM  
**To:** Strickler, Jacob <Strickler.Jacob@epa.gov>; Hurlid, Kathy <Hurlid.Kathy@epa.gov>  
**Subject:** Agenda and meeting summary for your comment

Patrick Field  
Managing Director  
Consensus Building Institute  
617-844-1118  
pfield@cbuilding.org